

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/985,919	11/06/2001	Sung Chun Choi	K-0341	4570
7590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM LLP			VO, TUYET THI	
14500 AVION SUITE 125	PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHANTILLY,	VA 20151		2821	

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
675	09/985,919	CHOI, SUNG CHU	N
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Tuyet Vo	2821	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover she t	with the correspondenc add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica:  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may be statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No y statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely IONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	10 October 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,15-20 and 22</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,21,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-29</u> are subject to restriction	ed.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawing	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority doct 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doct 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International 8 * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languar 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do reference was included in the first sentence.	uments have been received.  uments have been received in e priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not emestic priority under 35 U.S. the first sentence of the speci- ge provisional application has mestic priority under 35 U.S.	o Application No en received in this National Solution of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional fication or in an Application I solution been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-8)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) 🔲 Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO	

Application/Control Number: 09/985,919

Art Unit: 2821

### Remarks

Amendment filed October 10, 2003 is not persuasive due to a new ground rejection provided as follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8, line 2-4, recitation of "the widths and locations of the trigger electrodes prevent transition discharges between a trigger electrode and a sustain electrode not adjacent to said trigger electrode" is not clear due to lacking of what is adjacent to said trigger electrode.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-11, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schemerhorn et al. (US Pat. 6,597,120), hereinafter Schemerhorn.

Schemerhorn discloses a plasma display panel (Figs. 2, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13-26) comprising: a scan/sustain electrode (52, 63, 64) formed at a peripheral portion of a discharge cell; a common sustain electrode (52, 63, 64) formed to oppose the scan/sustain electrode at the peripheral portion of the discharge cell;

Application/Control Number: 09/985,919

Art Unit: 2821

a first trigger electrode (24, 65) formed to be adjacent to the scan/sustain electrode (32Y); a second trigger electrode (24, 66) formed adjacent to the common sustain electrode (32Z); wherein the first and second trigger electrodes (24, 65, 66) formed between the scan/sustain electrode (52, 63, 64) and the common sustain electrode (52, 63, 64);

a gap between the first trigger electrode and the scan/sustain electrode and a gap between the second trigger electrode and the common electrode are smaller than a gap between the first trigger electrode and the second trigger electrode (Figs. 5 and 8), the sustain discharge is generated between the scan/sustain electrode and the common electrode (Figs. 23 and 24), and a trigger discharge is generated between the first trigger electrode and the scan/sustain electrode and a trigger discharge is generated between the second trigger electrode and the common electrode (Figs. 17 and 20); and

wherein each of the scan/sustain electrode and the common electrode is wider than each of the first trigger electrode and the second trigger electrode (Fig. 5).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 12, 15-20 and 22 are allowed.
- 6. —The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to provide a method for driving PDP comprising steps of supplying sustain pulses to the first trigger electrode having voltage value higher than that of the second trigger electrode while it is lower than the voltage pulse applied to the scan/sustain electrode as required in claim 14 or the first trigger electrode having voltage value lower than that of the second trigger electrode while it is lower than the voltage pulse applied to the common electrode as required in claim 12. The prior art also lacks to establish a first trigger electrode and a second trigger electrode are formed side by side to be outwardly adjacent to the scan/sustain electrode and the common electrode respectively, wherein a gap between the first trigger electrode and the scan/sustain electrode and a gap between the second trigger electrode and the common electrode are smaller than a gap between the scan/sustain electrode as required in claim 22.

Application/Control Number: 09/985,919

Art Unit: 2821

7. New claims 25-29 requested in amendment filed October 10, 2003 has not been considered due to the restriction which the applicant has been noticed by the telephone conversation made approximately by December 16, 2003.

#### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyet Vo whose telephone number is 703 306 5497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 703 308 4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned is 703 872 9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Tuyet Vo

December 29, 2003